## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

STEVEN B. BARGER, an individual

Case No. 1:17-cv-4869-FB-LB

Plaintiff

PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT LIST

v.

(Assigned to the Honorable Frederic Block)

FIRST DATA CORPORATION et al.

Defendants.

## PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT LIST

Plaintiff Steven B. Barger, by and through his undersigned counsel, respectfully submits the objections set forth on <a href="Exhibit A">Exhibit A</a> to Defendants' Exhibit List [ECF No. 102]. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend or modify any of the objections on the basis of any stipulation entered into by the parties; corrections, revisions or other modifications to the underlying exhibits; any order from the Court on outstanding motions; and any ruling from the Court impacting admissibility.

Plaintiff objects to the introduction of exhibits that are not properly authenticated, but are willing to confer with defendants regarding means to resolve any authenticity objections in advance of trial. However, in instances where a stipulation as to authenticity may be reached, and unless otherwise notes, Plaintiff reserves all other objections to admissibility, including, but not limited to objections based on lack of foundation, hearsay and relevance.

Plaintiff objects to the following exhibits on Defendants' Exhibit List because, as of the filing of these objections, Defendants have not provided copies of the exhibits or bates numbers corresponding to document Bates numbers produced in discovery.

D-74	BARGER00291747	Repricing Range
D-103	BARGER00016177	FW: Top 150 List Based on MC Feedback

D-164	BARGER00407494	RE: Updated Comp on RIF List
-------	----------------	------------------------------

The following documents all fall into gaps in Defendant's Bates numbering during production and are not in the Plaintiff's possession. Plaintiff objects to all of these exhibits.

D99	FDC00048829
D105	FDC00048892
D113	FDC00049057
D128	FDC00051658
D144	FDC00052276
D155	FDC00051707
D189	FDC00052295
D191	FDC00052309
D225	FDC00051862
D232	FDC00052298
D235	FDC00051634
D252	FDC00052345*
D275	FDC00052345*

 $<sup>\</sup>ast$  The Bates numbers of for D252 and D275 are the same, and FDC52345 is not in Defendant's production.

Plaintiff reserves all objections to the above documents until produced. As to the preceding documents, Plaintiff's objections are conditional, pending review of exhibits once they are provided.

With respect to Plaintiff's objections, the list below provides a key to the objections set forth below

CODE	OBJECTION
106	Incomplete; the introduction of any remaining
	portions ought, in fairness, to be considered
	contemporaneously (Fed. R. Evid. 106)
402	Relevance (Fed. R. Evid. 402)
403	Misleading; undue prejudice; confusion of
	issues; waste of time (Fed. R. Evid. 403)
701	Lay opinion or legal conclusion; the exhibit
	contains an impermissible opinion by a lawy
	witness that is not reasonable based on
	perception or helpful to a clear understanding
	of the witness' testimony or to the
	determination of a fact in dispute

801 and/or 802	Hearsay; the exhibit is a statement made by			
001 4114 01 002	one other than the witness while testifying at			
	trial, offered into evidence to prove the truth			
	of the matter asserted, and not subject to any			
	hearsay exception (Fed. R. Evid.801 and 802)			
901	Authentication; exhibit has not been properly			
701	authenticated (Fed. R. Evid 901)			
1001-1004	Best evidence; the exhibit is objectionable			
1001-1004	because it is vague and ambiguous as to			
	whether the witness is summarizing his or her			
	own independent understanding of the			
	contents of the document (Fed. R. Evid. 1001-			
	· ·			
E				
E	Exhibit is objectionable because it			
	cosnsituttes attempted expert testimony from			
	a person who was not designated an expert			
	(Fed. R. Civ. P. 26)			
F	Exhibit lacks foundation			
UT	Untimely, exhibit not provided during the			
	discovery process.			
Cond.	Conditional objection; Plaintiff reserves the			
	right to object to this exhibit at trial			
	depending on the purpose for which			
	Defendants seek to introduce it.			
1				

DATED: September 5, 2019

Respectfully Submitted, THE LAW OFFICE OF SHAWN SHEARER, P.C.

/s/ Shawn Shearer

SHAWN SHEARER

The Law Office of Shawn Shearer, P.C. 3839 McKinney Avenue, Suite 155-254

Dallas, Texas 75204

Telephone (972) 803-4499

shawn@shearerlaw.pro

Attorney for Plaintiff

Steven B. Barger

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Ιŀ	ereby certify	that a copy	of the	foregoing	was served	via the	Court's	CM/ECF	system
----	---------------	-------------	--------	-----------	------------	---------	---------	--------	--------

on September \_\_\_, 2019 on the following:

Gary Eidelman MichaelP Cianfichi Gillian Cooper SAUL EWING ARNSTEIN & LEHR LLP

Louis P. DiLorenzo BOND, SCHOENECK & KING PLLC

> /s/ Shawn Shearer Shawn Shearer